#### STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Williams County District #8

**Date of Administrative Review:** 1/27/2020

Date review results were provided to the SFA: 1/27/2020

Date review summary was publicly posted: 2/11/2020

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

### **General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in?

PROGRAM	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
School Breakfast Program	$\boxtimes$		
National School Lunch Program	$\boxtimes$		
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	$\boxtimes$		
Afterschool Snack			
Special Milk Program			$\boxtimes$

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions?

SPECIAL PROVISION	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
Community Eligibility Provision			
Special Provision 2/3			

## **Review Findings**

3. Note Worthy Observations:

Williams County School District # 8 has three site locations that work with Child Nutrition. The staff at all locations do a phenomenal job implementing the USDA Standards for Child Nutrition. Tony has attended DPI training to implement the guidelines and trains all staff to be aware of the requirements. The kitchen is neat, clean and all records are organized. The production records have accurate information, CN labels are available for food products and recipes have been entered in Recipe Analysis Workbook (RAW). Tony takes pride in the Nutrition Program and it shows through the meals he prepares and the students who participate in the program. Tony displays excellent leadership while providing training to the staff and working with administration. The menus include all food

components, with subgroups being offered on the salad bar. The Williams County team is doing an excellent job. Keep up the good work.

4. We	ic uity	indings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?   Yes   No  If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.
4 D		
A. Pro	gram	Access and Reimbursement
YES	NO	Area Of Review
	$\boxtimes$	Certification and Benefit Issuance
	$\boxtimes$	Verification
	$\boxtimes$	Meal Counting and Claiming
F: 1:	( ) <b>D</b> (	"
Finding	(s) Deta NA	<u> </u>
1)	INA	
2)	NA	
Ieal Pa		and Nutritional Quality
	tterns	
Ieal Pa		and Nutritional Quality  Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities
YES	tterns NO	Area Of Review
YES	NO 🖂	Area Of Review Meal Components and Quantities
YES	NO 🗵	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES	NO 🖂 🖂	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES	NO 🗵	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES	NO  S  S  S  (s) Deta	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES	NO 🖂 🖂	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES	NO  S  S  (s) Deta  NA  NA	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
YES  Finding  1)  2)  chool N	NO S S S (s) Deta NA NA NA	Area Of Review Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  on Environment
YES	NO  S  S  (s) Deta NA  NA  NA  Nutriti	Area Of Review Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  on Environment  Area Of Review
YES  Finding 1) 2) chool N YES	NO S S S (s) Deta NA NA NA	Area Of Review  Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  on Environment  Area Of Review Food Safety
YES  Finding  1)  2)  chool N  YES	NO S S (s) Deta NA NA NA NA NO S S	Area Of Review Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  on Environment  Area Of Review

# D. Civil Rights

### Finding(s) Details:

1) NA

2) NA

 Three staff have not started annual training including Civil Rights training. This has been corrected since the review January 27, 2020 and Professional Development Logs have been submitted to ND DPI.

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.